

**CBD, FOE, IEN comment letter references submitted to CARB
October 29, 2018**

Submitted via online portal at:

https://www.arb.ca.gov/lispub/comm/bcsubform.php?listname=tfs2018&comm_period=N

Bos, A.B. et al., Comparing methods for assessing the effectiveness of subnational REDD+ initiatives, 12 Environmental Research Letters 074007 (2017).

Bruckner, Thomas et al., 2014: Energy Systems. In: Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press (2014), http://ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/wg3/ipcc_wg3_ar5_chapter7.pdf at Table 7.2.

Center for Biological Diversity, Oil Stain: How Dirty Crude Undercuts California's Climate Progress (Nov. 2017).

Cushing, L. et al., Carbon trading, co-pollutants, and environmental equity: Evidence from California's cap and trade program (2011-2015), 15 PLoS Med e1002604 (2018).

DHESCA Brasil, The Green Economy, Forest Peoples and Territories: Rights Violations in the State of Acre (2014).

Enrici, A.M. & K. Hubacek, Challenges for REDD+ in Indonesia: a case study of three project sites, 23 Ecology and Society 7 (2018).

Griscom B, et al., Sensitivity of amount and distribution of tropical forest carbon credits depending on baseline rules, 12 Environ Sci Policy 897 (2009).

Howson, P., Slippery violence in the REDD+ forests of Central Kalimantan, Indonesia, 16 Conservation and Society 136 (2018).

IPCC [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change], Global Warming of 1.5°C, an IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty (October 6, 2018), <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/sr15/>.

Mackey, B. et al., Untangling the confusion around land carbon science and climate change mitigation policy, 3 Nature Climate Change 552 (2013).

Mertz, O. et al., Uncertainty in establishing forest reference levels and predicting future forest-based carbon stocks for REDD+, 13 Journal of Land Use Science 1 (2018).

Milne, S. et al., Learning from 'Actually Existing' REDD+: A Synthesis of Ethnographic Findings, Conservation and Society (2018).

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Analysis of Refinery Chemical Emissions and Health Effects, (Draft September 2017),

<https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/faqs/refinerychemicalsreport092717.pdf>.

Oil Change International, The Sky's Limit California: Why the Paris Climate Goals Demand That California Lead in a Managed Decline of Oil Extraction (May 2018).

Oil Change International, The Sky's Limit: Why the Paris Climate Goals Require a Managed Decline of Fossil Fuel Production (September 2016), <http://priceofoil.org/2016/09/22/the-skys-limit-report/>.

Oliveira, G. & S. Hecht, Sacred groves, sacrifice zones and soy production: globalization, intensification and neo-nature in South America, 43 Journal of Peasant Studies 251 (2016).

Osborne, T. et al., Indigenous Peoples and REDD+: A Critical Perspective, Indigenous People's Bicultural Climate Change Assessment Initiative, November 2014.

Panfil, S. N. & C.A. Harvey, REDD+ and Biodiversity Conservation: A Review of the Biodiversity Goals, Monitoring Methods, and Impacts of 80 REDD+ Projects, 9 Conservation Letters 143 (2016).

Pastor, M., et al., Minding the climate gap: what's at stake if California's climate law isn't done right and right away, Program for Environmental and Regional Equity, University of Southern California, Los Angeles (2010).

Poudyal, M. et al., Can REDD+ social safeguards reach the 'right' people? Lessons from Madagascar, 37 Global Environmental Change 31 (2016).

Samndong, R.A., The participation illusion: Questioning community participation in a REDD+ pilot project in the Democratic Republic of Congo, 20 International Forestry Review 390 (2018).

Shrestha, S. et al., Contribution of REDD+ payments to the economy of rural households in Nepal, 88 Applied Geography 151 (2017).

Spiric, J. et al., A dominant voice amidst not enough people: analyzing the legitimacy of Mexico's REDD+ readiness process, 7 Forests 313 (2017).

Sunderlin, W.D. et al., REDD+ contribution to well-being and income is marginal: the perspectives of local stakeholders, 8 Forests 125 (2017).

World Rainforest Movement, REDD: A Collection of Conflicts, Contradictions and Lies, February 2015.